



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/684,568	10/06/2000	Yukio Tanaka	SEL 219	2087
75	90 07/23/2003			
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. SUITE 2850			EXAMINER	
			JORGENSEN, LELAND R	
200 WEST ADA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2675	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003	$\Lambda \Lambda$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## And Vision Action Ow/684,568		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner Leland R. Jorgensen 2675 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant in required to avoid abandorment of this application in progress and the progress of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed office of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later, in one event, however, with the stabutory period for reply expire later from the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later, in one event, however, with the stabutory period for reply expire later from the mailing date of the final rejection. The control of the mailing date of the final rejection. The control of the mailing date of the final rejection. The control of the final rejection on event, from may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.1346). The date on which the pellot under 37 CFR 1.1346 and the appropriate extension the hard stabutory period for extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension the hard stabutory and the period and the appropriate extension the under 37 CFR 1.1346 and the appropriate extension the period of reply originally set in the final folder and the sum of the final rejection. The final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(a)), to avoid dismissel of the appeal set in the final rejection. Set of the final rejection of the period set for	Advisory Action	09/684,568	TANAKA, YUKIO	\mathfrak{P}				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed folicios of Appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Only of the CRC Thills BOX Whiten The FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension en have been filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension en have been filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension en have been filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension en have been filed in the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension en have been filed in the date for purposes of Appeal was filed on	, arisony riodon	Examiner	Art Unit	4/				
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, Further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CPR 1.13 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Replace with appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (ReCF) in compliance with 37 CPR 1.14. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires		Leland R. Jorgensen	2675					
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess				
a) The period for reply expires o (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. b) With the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ever, however, will be studied by period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, no ever, however, will be studied for reply expired for reply expired the studied of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ever, however, will be studied for the studied for reply expired the studied for the final rejection for the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the studied state of the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the final rejection, even if the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection, even if the final rejection of the final rejection in a final final final rejection in a final final final rejection in a final final rejection in a final final rejection in the final re	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued							
 b) ∑ The period for regly expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. No nevent, however, will the statutory period for regly expire later than SIX MONTHS for the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f) The statishins of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.176(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Order action; or (2) as set forth in (3) above, if rotecked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if limely filed, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. ∑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ∑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ∑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ∑ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The af	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Sieven SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☑ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The api ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449)								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The and affidavit, by ☐ exhibit, or cy ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: SIEVEN SARAS SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(c)	(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheef. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) the proposed Super No(s) steps Naras SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600								
3. □ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. □ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. □ The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☒ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pager Nots STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) for the STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Faper Nots STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) for the Note of Statement (s) (PTO-1449) for the Statement (s)	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	place the				
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pager No(s) 1. STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600		ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.				d an				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1. STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•						
9.☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Faper No(s) 1	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 42</u> .							
STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examine	er.				
		STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment amend all independent claims to limit the video data to digital data. This limitation raises a new issue that requires further consideration and search. In addition, the proposed amendment adds new claims 43 - 49 that raise additional new issues. The proposed amendment cancelled no corresponding claims.